

Lossy Geometry Compression for High Resolution Voxel Scenes

Supplementary material

REMI VAN DER LAAN, LEONARDO SCANDOLO, and ELMAR EISEMANN, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

ACM Reference Format:

Remi van der Laan, Leonardo Scandolo, and Elmar Eisemann. 2020. Lossy Geometry Compression for High Resolution Voxel Scenes: Supplementary material. *Proc. ACM Comput. Graph. Interact. Tech.* 3, 1 (May 2020), 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3384541>

1 FULL COMPRESSION RATES

In Table 1 we compare the structure size when encoding our test scenes with a standard SVDAG, a pointer compressed SVDAG (ESVDAG), a symmetry-aware SVDAG (SSVDAG) and their lossy variants compressed with our lossy compression technique (respectively LSVDAG, LESVDAG, and LSSVDAG) when using our defined default compression parameters. Additionally we include the memory requirements of a standard SVO encoding. Please note that the amount of SVDAG nodes in the original SVDAG and the SVDAG remains the same, since the difference resides only in the variable bit pointer encoding; the same is true in the case of an LSVDAG and an LESVDAG.

In Figure 1, we show a graphical representation of the size ratio obtained when using the different DAG encodings for the original data and our lossy version.

2 COMPARISON IMAGES

In Figure 2 we showcase example close-up screenshots of our test scenes in order to showcase the types of errors introduced by our compression algorithm using our default compression parameters and an aggressive set of compression parameters. As can be seen in the figure, the error is well distributed among the whole scene, making the individual added or subtracted voxels difficult to distinguish. Furthermore, the error is introduced in mostly curved areas, since flat areas tend to correspond to subtrees with a high effective reference count, which are excluded from our clustering procedure.

Authors' address: Remi van der Laan, r.m.vanderlaan@outlook.com; Leonardo Scandolo, l.scandolo@tudelft.nl; Elmar Eisemann, e.eisemann@tudelft.nl, Delft University of Technology, Computer Graphics and Visualization Group, Delft, The Netherlands.

© 2020 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of the ACM on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3384541>.

Scene	Total number of DAG nodes in millions						Memory consumption in MB						bits/vox
	2K ³	4K ³	8K ³	16K ³	32K ³	64K ³	2K ³	4K ³	8K ³	16K ³	32K ³	64K ³	
Crytek Sponza (0.26 MTri)													
LSVDAG	0.1	0.4	1.0	2.6	6.6	17.1	3.8	9.9	24.9	62.2	156.0	400.2	0.082
LESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	1.9	5.4	14.9	40.8	110.4	301.1	0.062
LSSVDAG	0.1	0.3	0.8	2.1	5.5	13.9	1.5	4.5	12.5	33.9	91.1	243.6	0.050
SVDAG	0.2	0.5	1.3	3.5	9.1	23.6	4.3	11.9	31.7	82.3	212.7	545.3	0.112
ESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	2.1	6.4	18.7	52.7	147.4	404.0	0.083
SSVDAG	0.1	0.4	1.0	2.8	7.1	18.2	1.7	5.2	15.0	41.4	112.8	304.5	0.062
SVO	12.7	52.3	211.3	848.6	3,400.6	13,613.6	12.7	52.3	211.3	848.6	3,400.6	13,613.6	2.794
Epic Citadel (0.39 MTri)													
LSVDAG	0.1	0.3	0.8	2.2	5.7	14.9	2.5	7.0	18.9	50.4	132.2	343.1	0.611
LESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	1.2	3.8	11.4	33.2	93.4	257.4	0.458
LSSVDAG	0.1	0.2	0.7	1.8	4.8	12.7	1.0	3.2	9.6	28.0	78.5	217.0	0.386
SVDAG	0.1	0.4	1.0	2.9	7.6	19.9	3.0	8.5	23.8	65.4	174.7	454.7	0.772
ESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	1.5	4.6	14.2	42.5	122.0	336.7	0.599
SSVDAG	0.1	0.3	0.8	2.3	6.2	16.2	1.2	3.7	11.5	34.0	97.3	268.7	0.456
SVO	1.5	5.9	23.9	96.1	386.4	1,552.8	1.5	5.9	23.9	96.1	386.4	1,552.8	2.637
San Miguel (10.0 MTri)													
LSVDAG	0.2	0.6	2.0	5.3	14.0	36.7	4.5	16.8	51.8	139.2	360.3	938.3	0.635
LESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	1.9	7.5	24.8	73.3	208.0	596.2	0.404
LSSVDAG	0.2	0.6	1.8	4.7	12.2	31.3	1.8	6.9	22.2	64.5	180.3	504.0	0.341
SVDAG	0.2	0.7	2.3	6.6	18.6	50.0	4.7	18.1	57.8	164.5	460.5	1,228.2	0.832
ESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	2.0	8.1	27.4	85.5	262.0	766.1	0.519
SSVDAG	0.2	0.6	1.9	5.5	15.2	40.0	1.9	7.3	23.8	72.4	212.3	603.5	0.409
SVO	3.8	15.5	63.0	254.9	1,025.9	4,118.9	3.8	15.5	63.0	254.9	1,025.9	3,928.5	2.660
Hairball (2.9 MTri)													
LSVDAG	4.8	13.7	35.6				132.7	373.3	951.1				2.675
LESVDAG	"	"	"				63.9	210.6	604.9				1.701
LSSVDAG	3.9	11.6	30.7				54.9	179.9	514.1				1.446
SVDAG	5.8	16.6	48.6				156.3	435.4	1,246.2				3.505
ESVDAG	"	"	"				72.7	240.0	782.2				2.200
SSVDAG	4.5	13.5	39.8				59.5	198.8	622.7				1.751
SVO	53.8	230.4	962.4				53.8	230.4	962.4				2.706
Lucy (28.1 MTri)													
LSVDAG	0.1	0.4	1.0	2.8	9.3		3.5	9.2	23.8	65.2	216.2		1.148
LESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"		1.9	5.5	16.1	47.8	165.6		0.879
LSSVDAG	0.1	0.3	0.9	2.5	8.0		1.4	4.4	13.4	41.2	141.0		0.749
SVDAG	0.2	0.5	1.7	5.7	18.3		4.1	11.9	38.6	133.5	439.3		2.332
ESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"		2.2	7.1	25.1	90.7	309.4		1.643
SSVDAG	0.1	0.4	1.4	4.8	14.4		1.6	5.5	20.0	70.4	223.9		1.189
SVO	2.0	8.2	32.9	131.6	526.6		2.0	8.2	32.9	131.6	526.6		2.796
Powerplant (12.7 MTri)													
LSVDAG	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.1	2.5	5.8	1.9	4.8	11.5	27.3	64.8	149.3	0.215
LESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	0.8	2.4	6.1	15.5	39.1	97.0	0.140
LSSVDAG	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.9	2.0	4.7	0.7	2.0	5.2	13.1	32.5	80.2	0.115
SVDAG	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.2	2.9	7.0	2.0	5.1	12.4	30.1	73.3	175.4	0.252
ESVDAG	"	"	"	"	"	"	0.9	2.5	6.6	17.0	44.0	113.8	0.164
SSVDAG	0.1	0.2	0.4	1.0	2.3	5.4	0.7	2.1	5.5	14.0	35.4	89.9	0.129
SVO	1.1	5.0	22.0	94.4	404.9	1,741.0	1.1	5.0	22.0	94.4	404.9	1,741.0	2.506

Table 1. Structure size of our structure output with defaults parameters compared to the input SVDAG. Bits per voxel metric is specified for the highest resolution at which the dataset was processed. Some entries are missing due to hardware limitations.




Fig. 1. Memory reduction (as a size percentage ratio) comparison of the LSV DAG relative to the SVDAG, the LESVDAG relative to the ESVDAG, and LSSVDAG relative to the SSV DAG.

