Interactive visual analysis of flood scenarios using large-scale LiDAR point clouds

by T.Tutenel, C. Kehl and E. Eisemann

Overview

- 1. Visual Representation of LiDAR in Disaster Management (problem)
- 2. Visualisation-on-budget (method 1)
- 3. Geospatial data integration (method 2)
- 4. Historic flood in Wieringermeer 1945 (use case result 1)
- 5. Urban flood by precipitation in Watergraafsmeer (use case result 2)
- Crisis management support in exercises (use case result 3)
- 7. Future Work

Visual Representation of LiDAR in Disaster Management

- dutch Actuel Hoogtebestand Nederland (AHN) as aerial LiDAR data set of whole Netherlands available for research
- applications in climate research and disaster management
- our work cares about visualisation of flood scenarios for flood protection management
- usually maps are used to assess and visualise flood scenarios
- 2D map information not sufficient for giving public advice in case of a flood, especially in urban environments (Fig [flood sim system])



Visual Representation of LiDAR in Disaster Management

- recent movement towards usage of 3D geoinformation systems like GoogleEarth [Goodchild2008] and VirtualFlood3D [Busaman2010] to predict flood effects
- problem: full 3D information not globally available in every region, particularly not in smaller communities and rural areas (labour-intensive 3D modelling for Google Earth)
- Mesh-based systems deriving 2.5D information from height maps often lack necessary precision
- 2.5D LiDAR information creates new possibilities in 3D visualisation
- such data t
- aerial LiDA (PBR) appl



t-based rendering (a rendering])

Visual Representation of LiDAR in Disaster Management

- although commercial systems (e.g., ArcGIS) support LiDAR processing, visualisation still usually 2D map-alike
- most GIS fail processing and visualising massive, high-resolution LiDAR data; resolution compromises not acceptable in flood protection management
- we improve our visualisation system [deHaan2009, Kehl2012] by using a new approach of smooth out-of-core PBR for LiDAR data that is used for flood protection management
- enabling hydrologists and decision maker to plan new protection measures and highlight important landmarks, we use a new technique to combine KML-based geospatial metadata with the LiDAR point set

Overview

- 1. Visual Representation of LiDAR in Disaster Management (problem)
- 2. Visualisation-on-budget (method 1)
- 3. Geospatial data integration (method 2)
- 4. Historic flood in Wieringermeer 1945 (use case result 1)
- 5. Urban flood by precipitation in Watergraafsmeer (use case result 2)
- Crisis management support in exercises (use case result 3)
- 7. Future Work

Visualisation-on-budget

- objective is to render large-scale, high-resolution LiDAR point sets interactively and smoothly
- use tree-based Level-of-Detail (LoD) data structures to limit number of rendered points to only keep the points necessary for concise visualisation
- each LiDAR point distributed to its corresponding node in the LoD tree according to its importance (higher importance -> coarser detail level) (Fig. [Thesis p54])
- at runtime, we measure the available budget via the system's performance [Wouda2011]
- every point has a budget that is required if rendering the point
- successively more points are rendered until available budget of the system is null
- the system allows fast rendering speeds with smooth streaming of points

Geospatial data integration

- goal is to visually annotate the point set via geospatial metadata
- common interchange format: Google's KML
- accept 2D polygonal data, created by, for example, Google Maps
- polygonal format: vector list
- our approach: colour the LiDAR points according to stored styles of KMLdescribed areas
- therefore necessary: valid polygon description and established pointpolygon relation (which point is in which polygon)
- trivial point-to-point OpenGL polygon setup leads to artefacts -> Triangulation necessary
- for extracting a triangulation that includes original polygon: Constrained Delaunay Triangulation with polygon boundary as constraint

Geospatial data integration

- therefore point-in-triangle check executed for every LiDAR point on every triangle and coloured respectively
- GPU-based implementation even in high-performance graphics adapters relatively slow
- therefore: improvement by storing triangles in a quadtree
- due to spatial subdivision, point-in-triangle check for each point is only performed on adjacent triangles
- this allows interactive blending of geospatial metadata with the LiDAR point

cloud





Crisis management support in exercises

- exercise use case in Delfland Schieveen, a small test polder in a rural environment
- goal: assess how the local water board can give advices to the safety region institution (i.e. the fire departments, police stations, hospitals and the municipality) in case of a dyke breach-caused flood
- combined flood simulation after critical dyke breach, including water height as well as velocities, with wave animation and the coloured LiDAR point set
- assessment done via video of flood simulation and its mitigation, displayed in our system

Crisis management support in exercises



Crisis management support in exercises

- important information perceived by safety region institution are:
 - evolution / mitigation direction of the flood based on the animation
 - most threatened residential areas, affecting evacuation priority
- implementation of new flood protection policies as a precaution based on this exercise assessment possible

Historic flood in Wieringermeer 1945

- case study assess historic flood in holland's "Noorderkwartier"
- flooded Wieringermeer is 4th largest dutch polder
- was flooded during the 2nd world war by the german army on their retreat to germany; whole polder inundated
- manuscripts of water height measurements in regular timesteps available
- in our case: use the measurements as reference to compare and calibrate modern flood simulations

Historic flood in Wieringermeer 1945

- by visually analysing the result of the calibrated flood simulation, following facts are to note:
 - water distributes quickly along channels, so that adjacent areas are quickly inundated [small video]
 - in the low plain rescuing higher arounds are scarce [Fia]
 - the polder acts as a basin [Fig]



Historic flood in Wieringermeer 1945

- Conclusions to be drawn:
 - large polders are vulnerable, even for small boundary incidents, and contain a large water volume if inundated
 - therefore creation of medium-sized or small polders(<100km²), as in the Schie- and Rhine-area, preferable because they limit flood damage
 - if building large polders is inevitable, artificial hills or higher grounds can act as security zones in case of an accident

- case study series to assess impact of seals on flood mitigation
- flood caused by extreme precipitation
- two locations in that area are assumed to receive the heavy precipitation (Science Park and Betondorp) [Fig]
- outcome of different scenarios is visually compared:
 - flood in Science Park, with seals in the ground
 - \circ $\$ flood in Science Park, without seals in the ground
 - flood in Betondorp, with seals in the ground
 - o flood in Betondorp, without seals in the ground





Betondorp with seals

Science Park with seals





Betondorp without seals Science Park without seals



Impact of Seals in Science Park:

- without seals, the flood mitigation along the channels in the adjacent resident blocks; later mitigation occurs along streets
- with seals, the flood is majorly self-contained in the Science Park area; flood only slightly mitigates to one adjacent residence block via underground railway tunnel

Impact of Seals in Betondorp:

- also in this case full water cover of all residential blocks and the Science Park without active seals
- with seals being active, Science Park is kept dry while the residential area gets flooded; flood mitigation pattern stays the same with active seals



Betondorp with seals

Science Park with seals





Betondorp without seals Science Park without seals

Impact of flood source location:

- park area west of Betondorp is higher ground, which is not affected by flood events from the Science Park
- Betondorp not separated via channels from adjacent residential blocks, therefore extreme precipitation in this area affects larger population

Conclusions:

- fast advancing flood event gives population little time for evacuation, therefore search for higher ground or higher buildings advisable
- 3D view helps in advising minimal ground height for save stay [Fig]
- channel systems transports the water and separates residential units in flood events
- seals are beneficial to contain an urban flood hazard and limit the damage caused in the area

Future Work

- integration of our system into regional standard procedures for flood protection policy
- further use case for Groningen urban area
- visual integration of cloud- and rainfall measurements [Fig]
- using distributed rendering to compose visualisation of very large 3D displays/projectors
- support of larger, higher-resolution flood simulations (e.g. 2m² resolution for municipal level) via quadtree-based data structure
- use of AHN-3 terrestrial LiDAR to improve evacuation prediction because of better urban details

References

[deHaan2009]	G. de Haan. Scalable visualization of massive point clouds.
	Nederlandse Commissie voor Geodesie KNAW, 49:59, 2009
[Kehl2010]	Christian Kehl and Gerwin de Haan. Interactive simulation and
	visualisation of realistic flooding scenarios. In Intelligent Systems for
	Crisis Management, 2012.
[Wouda2011]	Berend Wouda. Visualization on a budget for massive lidar point
	clouds. Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2011.
[Busaman2010]	Anurak Busaman, Somporn Chuai-Aree, Wattana
	Kanubua. VirtualFlood3D: Software for Simulation and
	and Visualization of Water Flooding. In 14th Intern. Annual
	Symposium on Computational Science and Engineering,
	2010
[Goodchild2008]	M.F. Goodchild. The use cases of digital earth. In International
	<i>Journal of Digital Eart</i> h, 2008