Path tracing, part 2 of 2: Importance sampling

Christoph Peters Delft University of Technology (TU Delft) Computer Graphics and Visualization Group

The rendering equation: Integrand

$$L_o(\mathbf{x}, \omega_o) = L_e(\mathbf{x}, \omega_o) + \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \,\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \,\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \,\mathrm{d}\omega_i$$



TUDelft

Ħ

 \mathbf{v}^{x_0}

TUDelft

Ħ

 x_1

 $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_0)$

UDelft

Radiance is constant along rays in vacuum. $L(\mathbf{x}_{j-1}, \omega_{j-1}) = L_o(\mathbf{x}_j, -\omega_{j-1})$

 x_1

 $\mathbf{p}(x_0)$

 x_2

UDelft

Radiance is constant along rays in vacuum. $L(\mathbf{x}_{j-1}, \omega_{j-1}) = L_o(\mathbf{x}_j, -\omega_{j-1})$

 x_1

 $\mathbf{FO}^{\mathbf{x}_0}$

 \boldsymbol{x}_2

UDelft

Radiance is constant along rays in vacuum. $L(\mathbf{x}_{j-1}, \omega_{j-1}) = L_o(\mathbf{x}_j, -\omega_{j-1})$

 x_1

 $\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{x}_0}$

 x_3

 \boldsymbol{x}_2

ÚDelft

Radiance is constant along rays in vacuum. $L(\mathbf{x}_{j-1}, \omega_{j-1}) = L_o(\mathbf{x}_j, -\omega_{j-1})$

 x_1

 \mathbf{x}_0

 ω_{3}

 x_3





The rendering equation: Integrand

$$L_o(\mathbf{x}, \omega_o) = L_e(\mathbf{x}, \omega_o) + \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \,\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \,\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \,\mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$



Diffuse BRDF $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o)$

Geometry term $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i$



Importance sampling



Importance sampling: Idea

Idea: Sample regions with large values more frequently.

A rough guess is often good enough.



Importance sampling: Idea

Idea: Sample regions with large values more frequently.

A rough guess is often good enough.

E.g. double sample density for x < 0.2 compared to $x \ge 0.2$.



Importance sampling: Idea

Idea: Sample regions with large values more frequently.

A rough guess is often good enough.

E.g. double sample density for x < 0.2 compared to $x \ge 0.2$.

To compensate, samples with x < 0.2 get half the weight.



Use probability density function (PDF) $p(x) \ge 0$ with $x \in [a, b]$.

Take samples $x_0, ..., x_{N-1}$ with density p(x). Probability for sample x_0 to be in [c, d]: $\int_c^d p(x) dx$





Use probability density function (PDF) $p(x) \ge 0$ with $x \in [a, b]$.

Take samples $x_0, ..., x_{N-1}$ with density p(x). Probability for sample x_0 to be in [c,d]: $\int_c^d p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$



tuDelft

Use probability density function (PDF) $p(x) \ge 0$ with $x \in [a, b]$.

Take samples $x_0, ..., x_{N-1}$ with density p(x). Normalized to 100% overall probability: $\int_a^b p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$



tuDelft

Use probability density function (PDF) $p(x) \ge 0$ with $x \in [a, b]$.

Take samples $x_0, ..., x_{N-1}$ with density p(x). Normalized to 100% overall probability: $\int_a^b p(x) dx = 1$ $I \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \frac{f(x_i)}{p(x_i)}$ is unbiased estimate if $f(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow p(x) > 0$. 3 h a

tuDelft

Use probability density function (PDF) $p(x) \ge 0$ with $x \in [a, b]$.

Take samples $x_0, ..., x_{N-1}$ with density p(x). Normalized to 100% overall probability: $\int_{a}^{b} p(x) dx = 1$ $I \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{f(x_i)}{p(x_i)}$ is unbiased estimate if $f(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow p(x) > 0$. 3 (x)d 0 h a

Use probability density function (PDF) $p(x) \ge 0$ with $x \in [a, b]$.

Take samples $x_0, ..., x_{N-1}$ with density p(x). Normalized to 100% overall probability: $\int_{a}^{b} p(x) dx = 1$ $I \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{f(x_j)}{p(x_j)}$ is unbiased estimate if $f(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow p(x) > 0$. 3 (x)fh a

Perfect importance sampling

Ideally p(x) = wf(x) for a suitable normalization factor w > 0. Then $\frac{f(x_j)}{p(x_j)} = \frac{f(x_j)}{wf(x_j)} = \frac{1}{w}$ is constant. No noise!



Perfect importance sampling

Ideally
$$p(x) = wf(x)$$
 for a suitable normalization factor $w > 0$.
Then $\frac{f(x_j)}{p(x_j)} = \frac{f(x_j)}{wf(x_j)} = \frac{1}{w}$ is constant. No noise!
But $\int_a^b p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1 \Rightarrow \int_a^b wf(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{w} = \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$



fuDelft

Perfect importance sampling

Ideally p(x) = wf(x) for a suitable normalization factor w > 0. Then $\frac{f(x_j)}{p(x_j)} = \frac{f(x_j)}{wf(x_j)} = \frac{1}{w}$ is constant. No noise! But $\int_a^b p(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1 \Rightarrow \int_a^b wf(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{w} = \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$

The density p(x) must be a simplified version of f(x).





Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Goal: Convert uniform u in [0, 1) to x in [a, b) with density p(x).



Correctness proof

Assume p(x) > 0 for simplicity.

Using the rule for derivatives of inverse functions:

$$(F^{-1})'(u) = \frac{1}{F'(F^{-1}(u))} = \frac{1}{p(F^{-1}(u))}$$

Using integration by substitution:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(F^{-1}(u))}{p(F^{-1}(u))} \, \mathrm{d}u = \int_{0}^{1} f(F^{-1}(u))(F^{-1})'(u) \, \mathrm{d}u$$
$$= \int_{F^{-1}(0)}^{F^{-1}(1)} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

fuDelft

Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

RRDF

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$



$$ho({m x},\omega_i,\omega_o)$$

Geometry term $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$

Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$

Diffuse BRDF $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o)$

Geometry term $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$



Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$

Diffuse BRDF
$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o)$$

Geometry term
$$\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$$



BRDF importance sampling


Spherical vs. projected solid angle sampling

$$p(\theta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \qquad p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$$
$$p(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \sin(\theta)} \qquad p(\omega) = w' \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega = w' \omega_z$$





Spherical vs. projected solid angle sampling

$$p(heta) = rac{2}{\pi}$$
 $p(\omega) = rac{1}{\pi^2 \sin(heta)}$



$$p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$$
$$p(\omega) = w' \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega = w' \omega_{z}$$



 $(\omega_{\rm x},\omega_{\rm y})$ is uniform. Known as Nusselt analog.

tuDelft

Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with density $p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$.



Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with density $p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) \coloneqq \int_0^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w \int_0^{\Theta} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$ $= w [-\frac{1}{2} \cos^2(\theta)]_0^{\Theta} = \frac{w}{2} (1 - \cos^2(\Theta))$

Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with density $p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) \coloneqq \int_0^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w \int_0^{\Theta} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$ $= w [-\frac{1}{2} \cos^2(\theta)]_0^{\Theta} = \frac{w}{2} (1 - \cos^2(\Theta))$

Normalize:
$$F(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{w}{2}(1 - \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2})) = 1 \Rightarrow w = 2$$



Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with density $p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) \coloneqq \int_0^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w \int_0^{\Theta} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$ $= w[-\frac{1}{2}\cos^2(\theta)]_0^{\Theta} = \frac{w}{2}(1 - \cos^2(\Theta)) = 1 - \cos^2(\Theta)$

Normalize:
$$F(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 \implies \frac{w}{2}(1 - \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2})) = 1 \implies w = 2$$



Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with density $p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) \coloneqq \int_{0}^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w \int_{0}^{\Theta} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$ $= w[-\frac{1}{2}\cos^{2}(\theta)]_{0}^{\Theta} = \frac{w}{2}(1 - \cos^{2}(\Theta)) = 1 - \cos^{2}(\Theta)$ Normalize: $F(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 \implies \frac{w}{2}(1 - \cos^{2}(\frac{\pi}{2})) = 1 \implies w = 2$

Solve: $u = F(\theta) \iff 1 - u = \cos^2(\theta) \iff \theta = \arccos \sqrt{1 - u}$



Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with density $p(\theta) = w \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)$. $\mathsf{CDF:} F(\Theta) \coloneqq \int_{0}^{\Theta} p(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\theta = w \int_{0}^{\Theta} \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\theta$ $= w [-\frac{1}{2}\cos^2(\theta)]_0^{\Theta} = \frac{w}{2} (1 - \cos^2(\Theta)) = 1 - \cos^2(\Theta)$ Normalize: $F(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{w}{2}(1 - \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2})) = 1 \Rightarrow w = 2$ Solve: $u = F(\theta) \iff 1 - u = \cos^2(\theta) \iff \theta = \arccos \sqrt{1 - u}$ Simplify: $\sin(\theta) = \sin \arccos \sqrt{1-u} = \sqrt{1-\sqrt{1-u^2}} = \sqrt{u}$

$$\cos(\theta) = \cos\arccos\sqrt{1-u} = \sqrt{1-u}$$

TUDelft

Goal: Sample $\omega \in \Omega$ proportional to ω_z .

$$\omega_{\mathbf{x}}(\theta,\varphi) \coloneqq \cos(\varphi) \sin(\theta) = \cos(2\pi u_{\mathbf{x}} - \pi) \sqrt{u_{\mathbf{y}}}$$

$$\omega_{\rm y}(\theta,\varphi) \coloneqq \sin(\varphi) {\rm sin}(\theta) = \sin(2\pi u_{\rm x}-\pi) \sqrt{u_{\rm y}}$$

$$\omega_{\rm z}(\theta,\varphi)\coloneqq\cos(\theta)=\sqrt{1-u_{\rm y}}$$



Goal: Sample $\omega \in \Omega$ proportional to ω_z .

$$\omega_{\mathbf{x}}(\theta,\varphi) \coloneqq \cos(\varphi) \sin(\theta) = \cos(2\pi u_{\mathbf{x}} - \pi) \sqrt{u_{\mathbf{y}}}$$

$$\omega_{\rm y}(\theta,\varphi) \coloneqq \sin(\varphi) {\rm sin}(\theta) = \sin(2\pi u_{\rm x}-\pi) \sqrt{u_{\rm y}}$$



Goal: Sample $\omega \in \Omega$ proportional to ω_z .

$$\omega_{\mathbf{x}}(\theta,\varphi) \coloneqq \cos(\varphi) \sin(\theta) = \cos(2\pi u_{\mathbf{x}} - \pi) \sqrt{u_{\mathbf{y}}}$$

$$\omega_{\rm y}(\theta,\varphi) \coloneqq \sin(\varphi) \sin(\theta) = \sin(2\pi u_{\rm x} - \pi) \sqrt{u_{\rm y}}$$

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\mathbf{z}}(\theta,\varphi) &\coloneqq \cos(\theta) = \sqrt{1 - u_{\mathbf{y}}} \\ \text{Density: } p(\omega) &= w' \, \omega_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{z}}}{\pi} \quad \text{Estimate: } \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\omega \approx \frac{f(\omega(\boldsymbol{u}))}{p(\omega(\boldsymbol{u}))} \\ 1 &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} p(\omega(\theta,\varphi)) \sin(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\varphi = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{w'}{2} F(\frac{\pi}{2}) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi = \pi w' \end{split}$$

tuDelft

Comparison

Both images use N = 4 samples per pixel.

Moderate but consistent noise reduction at no cost.

Uniform θ, φ



Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

RRDF

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$



$$ho(oldsymbol{x},\omega_i,\omega_o)$$

Geometry term $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$

Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$



fUDelft



Geometry term $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$

Specular BRDF sampling

Slightly complicated but code is available.

Method for microfacet BRDFs: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12417

Faster method for GGX: doi.org/10.1145/2815618

Still faster method for GGX: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14867

Roughness: 0.10

Outgoing inclination: 0.6



Specular BRDF sampling

Slightly complicated but code is available.

Method for microfacet BRDFs: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12417

Faster method for GGX: doi.org/10.1145/2815618

Still faster method for GGX: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14867

Roughness: 0.10

Outgoing inclination: 0.6

GGX-based BRDF with samples



We have m sampling strategies but only want one sample. Strategy $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ has density $p_j(x)$, importance c_j . Total importance: $C \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j$

Goal: Pick strategy j with probability $\frac{c_j}{C}$.



We have m sampling strategies but only want one sample. Strategy $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ has density $p_i(x)$, importance c_i . Total importance: $C \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i$ Goal: Pick strategy j with probability $\frac{c_j}{C}$. Linear search for $j: \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} c_l \le Cu < \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} c_l$ with u uniform in [0,1)Cu c_2 C_3 c_0 c_1

TUDelft

We have m sampling strategies but only want one sample. Strategy $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ has density $p_i(x)$, importance c_i . Total importance: $C \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i$ Goal: Pick strategy j with probability $\frac{c_j}{C}$. Linear search for $j: \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} c_l \le Cu < \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} c_l$ with u uniform in [0,1)Cu c_2 c_0 c_1 \mathcal{C}^{3}

We have m sampling strategies but only want one sample. Strategy $j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ has density $p_i(x)$, importance c_i . Total importance: $C \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j$ Goal: Pick strategy j with probability $\frac{c_j}{C}$. Linear search for $j: \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} c_l \le Cu < \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} c_l$ with u uniform in [0, 1)Cu c_2 c_0 c_1 \mathcal{C}^{3} Combined density: $p(x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{C} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j p_j(x)$ **T**UDelft

Combining diffuse and specular sampling

Probability for projected solid angle sampling:

The diffuse albedo, but at most 50%.

Otherwise specular BRDF sampling.

In specular highlights, specular is extremely important.

So we do not want to use its sampling strategy too seldomly.





Light importance sampling



Daytime BRDF sampling, N

. Eine

TU Delft

1

A

Nighttime, BRDF sampling, N = 10





Nighttime, BRDF+light sampling, N = 16



Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$

Incoming radiance $L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)$





Geometry term
$$\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$$

Importance sampling strategies

Which factor(s) should we use importance sampling for?

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \, \rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$



$$egin{array}{c} \mathsf{BRDF} \
ho({m x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \end{array}$$

Geometry term
$$\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i = \cos(\theta_i)$$



Spherical lights

Light with radius r, center $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Choose a convenient coordinate frame:

Path vertex x_j is origin, c is on z-axis.



 $\mathbf{o}_{\boldsymbol{x}_i}$



Spherical lights

Light with radius r, center $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Choose a convenient coordinate frame:

Path vertex x_j is origin, c is on z-axis.

$$\sin(\alpha) = \frac{\text{opposite}}{\text{hypotenuse}} = \frac{r}{\|\boldsymbol{c}\|}$$
$$\alpha = \arcsin(\frac{r}{\|\boldsymbol{c}\|})$$



ữ∪Delft

Spherical lights

Light with radius r, center $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Choose a convenient coordinate frame:

Path vertex x_j is origin, c is on z-axis.

$$\sin(\alpha) = \frac{\text{opposite}}{\text{hypotenuse}} = \frac{r}{\|\boldsymbol{c}\|}$$
$$\alpha = \arcsin(\frac{r}{\|\boldsymbol{c}\|})$$

Sample direction vectors within angle α of z-axis.

This is a so-called spherical cap.



Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \alpha)$ with density $p(\theta) = w \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) = \int_0^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w[-\cos(\theta)]_0^{\Theta} = w(1 - \cos(\Theta))$

Normalize: $1 = F(\alpha) = w(1 - \cos(\alpha)) \Rightarrow w = \frac{1}{1 - \cos(\alpha)}$

Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \alpha)$ with density $p(\theta) = w \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) = \int_0^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w[-\cos(\theta)]_0^{\Theta} = w(1 - \cos(\Theta))$

Normalize: $1 = F(\alpha) = w(1 - \cos(\alpha)) \Rightarrow w = \frac{1}{1 - \cos(\alpha)}$

Solve:
$$u = F(\theta) \iff (1 - \cos(\alpha))u = 1 - \cos(\theta)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \theta = \arccos(1 - (1 - \cos(\alpha))u)$



Goal: Sample $\theta \in [0, \alpha)$ with density $p(\theta) = w \sin(\theta)$. CDF: $F(\Theta) = \int_{0}^{\Theta} p(\theta) d\theta = w[-\cos(\theta)]_{0}^{\Theta} = w(1 - \cos(\Theta))$ Normalize: $1 = F(\alpha) = w(1 - \cos(\alpha)) \Rightarrow w = \frac{1}{1 - \cos(\alpha)}$ Solve: $u = F(\theta) \iff (1 - \cos(\alpha))u = 1 - \cos(\theta)$ $\Leftrightarrow \theta = \arccos(1 - (1 - \cos(\alpha))u)$ Simplify: $\cos(\alpha) = \cos(\arcsin(\frac{r}{\|r\|})) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\|r\|^2}}$ $\omega_{z} \coloneqq \cos(\theta) = 1 - (1 - \cos(\alpha))u$ $\sin(\theta) = \sqrt{1 - \omega_{\pi}^2}$

tuDelft

Goal: Sample ω uniformly in spherical cap with angle α .

$$\begin{aligned} z_{\min} &\coloneqq \cos(\alpha) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\|\boldsymbol{c}\|^2}} \\ \omega_z &\coloneqq \cos(\theta) = 1 - (1 - z_{\min}) \boldsymbol{u}_y \\ \omega_x &\coloneqq \cos(\varphi) \sin(\theta) = \cos(2\pi \boldsymbol{u}_x - \pi) \sqrt{1 - \omega_z^2} \\ \omega_y &\coloneqq \sin(\varphi) \sin(\theta) = \sin(2\pi \boldsymbol{u}_x - \pi) \sqrt{1 - \omega_z^2} \end{aligned}$$

TUDelft

Goal: Sample ω uniformly in spherical cap with angle α .

$$z_{\min} \coloneqq \cos(\alpha) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^2}}$$
$$\omega_z \coloneqq \cos(\theta) = 1 - (1 - z_{\min})\boldsymbol{u}_y$$
$$\omega_x \coloneqq \cos(\varphi)\sin(\theta) = \cos(2\pi\boldsymbol{u}_x - \pi)\sqrt{1 - \omega_z^2}$$
$$\omega_y \coloneqq \sin(\varphi)\sin(\theta) = \sin(2\pi\boldsymbol{u}_x - \pi)\sqrt{1 - \omega_z^2}$$

Density:
$$p(\omega) = rac{1}{2\pi(1-z_{\min})}$$

 $2\pi(1-z_{\min})$ is the solid angle of the spherical cap.

Solid angle = area of shape projected onto unit sphere. \mathbf{f}_{UDelft}
Combining light sampling strategies

For m lights, we get densities $p_0(\omega), ..., p_{m-1}(\omega)$.

Combine all these strategies as for diffuse and specular.

Importance $c_j \coloneqq$ solid angle times L_e or zero if a light is outside the hemisphere $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ (i.e. $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{c} < -r$).



Combining light sampling strategies

For m lights, we get densities $p_0(\omega), ..., p_{m-1}(\omega)$.

Combine all these strategies as for diffuse and specular.

Importance $c_j \coloneqq$ solid angle times L_e or zero if a light is outside the hemisphere $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ (i.e. $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{c} < -r$).

Incurs computations per light for each path vertex x_j .

But the quality improvement justifies this cost (for ~30 lights).

Compute units are underutilized anyway.

The ray tracing cores are the bottleneck in this path tracer.

Sampling other light shapes

Similar algorithms exist for lights of various shapes.

Solid angle sampling:

Polygons: doi.org/10.1145/218380.218500

Rectangles: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12151





Sampling other light shapes

Similar algorithms exist for lights of various shapes.

Solid angle sampling:

Polygons: doi.org/10.1145/218380.218500

Rectangles: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12151

Projected solid angle sampling:

Spheres: doi.org/10.1145/3320282

Polygons: doi.org/10.1145/3450626.3459672

Thin cylinders: doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14379



Multiple importance sampling (MIS)



Combining BRDF and light sampling

For BRDF sampling, we have a strategy with density $p_b(\omega)$. Fails for small lights in rough reflections.

For light sampling, we have a strategy with density $p_l(\omega)$. Fails for large lights in smooth reflections.

MIS works in all of these cases.



Light sampling



doi.org/10.1145/218380.218498

MIS



MIS (with balance heuristic)

Take sample ω_b using the BRDF density $p_b(\omega)$.

Take sample ω_l using the light density $p_l(\omega)$.

MIS estimate:
$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\omega \approx rac{f(\omega_b)}{p_b(\omega_b) + p_l(\omega_b)} + rac{f(\omega_l)}{p_b(\omega_l) + p_l(\omega_l)}$$



MIS (with balance heuristic)

Take sample ω_b using the BRDF density $p_b(\omega)$.

Take sample ω_l using the light density $p_l(\omega)$.

MIS estimate:
$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\omega \approx \frac{f(\omega_b)}{p_b(\omega_b) + p_l(\omega_b)} + \frac{f(\omega_l)}{p_b(\omega_l) + p_l(\omega_l)}$$

Weights as if ω_b, ω_l were sampled with a combined strategy.

Similar to the single-sample combination of strategies.



MIS (with balance heuristic)

Take sample ω_b using the BRDF density $p_b(\omega)$.

Take sample ω_l using the light density $p_l(\omega)$.

MIS estimate:
$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \, \mathrm{d}\omega \approx rac{f(\omega_b)}{p_b(\omega_b) + p_l(\omega_b)} + rac{f(\omega_l)}{p_b(\omega_l) + p_l(\omega_l)}$$

Weights as if ω_b, ω_l were sampled with a combined strategy.

Similar to the single-sample combination of strategies.

Density computation must work independently of sampling.

Otherwise we cannot compute $p_l(\omega_b)$ and $p_b(\omega_l)$.

TUDelft



Path construction

 $\omega_{b,\,2}$

 $\omega_{b,\,1'}$

 x_1

 \boldsymbol{x}_2

TUDelft

10 00

 $\omega_{b,3}$ x_3

 \mathbf{x}_0

Path construction

 $\omega_{l,2}$

 $\omega_{l,1}$

 \boldsymbol{x}_1

 x_2

TUDelft

 $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_0)$

 x_3

Path construction

 $\omega_{l,2}$

 $\omega_{l,1}$

 x_1

 \boldsymbol{x}_2

UDelft

BRDF samples continue the path, light samples do not.

 \mathbf{x}_0

Take apart the integrand into direct and indirect illumination.

Direct:
$$L_d(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L_e(\boldsymbol{x}_{k+1}, -\omega_k)$$

Indirect/reflected: $L_r(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) := L(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) - L_d(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k)$



Take apart the integrand into direct and indirect illumination. Direct: $L_d(\mathbf{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L_e(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, -\omega_k)$

Indirect/reflected: $L_r(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) - L_d(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k)$

$$\int_{\Omega(\boldsymbol{x})} L(\boldsymbol{x},\omega_i) \, \rho(\boldsymbol{x},\omega_i,\omega_o) \, \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$$



Take apart the integrand into direct and indirect illumination. Direct: $L_d(\mathbf{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L_e(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, -\omega_k)$

Indirect/reflected: $L_r(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) - L_d(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k)$

$$\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} (L_d(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) + L_r(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)) \,\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \,\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \,\mathrm{d}\omega_i$$



Take apart the integrand into direct and indirect illumination. Direct: $L_d(\mathbf{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L_e(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, -\omega_k)$ Indirect/reflected: $L_r(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) \coloneqq L(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k) - L_d(\boldsymbol{x}_k, \omega_k)$ $\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} (L_d(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) + L_r(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i)) \,\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \,\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \,\mathrm{d}\omega_i$ $\approx \text{ MIS estimate for } \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} L_d(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i) \,\rho(\mathbf{x}, \omega_i, \omega_o) \,\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega_i \,\mathrm{d}\omega_i$ + $p_b(\omega)$ -estimate for $\int_{\Omega(\omega)}^{\infty} L_r(\boldsymbol{x},\omega_i) \, \rho(\boldsymbol{x},\omega_i,\omega_o) \, \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \omega_i \, \mathrm{d}\omega_i$

TUDelft

Outlook



Light sampling for many lights: ReSTIR

Learns a good sampling density by exploiting coherence.

Reuses samples from neighboring pixels and previous frames.



fuDelft

doi.org/10.1145/3386569.3392481

Spatiotemporal variance-guided filtering (SVGF)

Denoising "blurs away" the noise.

Designed to preserve image features as much as possible.

Filtering across pixels and across frames.



Delft

doi.org/10.1145/3105762.3105770

Stratification

Random number generation is too important to be left to chance. —Robert Coveyou

Stratified sampling preserves benefits of randomization.

But certain subsets always get exactly one sample.

Simply use stratified "random" numbers instead of PRNG.

Ideally: Lower variance at same sample count.



Conclusions

Path tracing is...

general: All light transport in one framework.

unbiased: Gives correct image + noise.

scalable: More samples, higher quality.

parallelizable: Across samples and pixels.

efficient: With good sampling strategies.

the default in offline rendering.

the future of real-time rendering.

TUDelft

Conclusions

Real-time path tracing needs...

wider availability of good ray tracing hardware.

better importance sampling for indirect light.

faster builds for acceleration structures.

methods for level of detail.

more research...

better understanding among developers.



