

Automatic Photo-to-Terrain Alignment for the Annotation of Mountain Pictures

Lionel Baboud*, Martin Čadík*, Elmar Eisemann[#], Hans-Peter Seidel*

*Max-Planck Institute Informatik *Telecom ParisTech/CNRS-LTCI



Motivation



Motivation



photograph's viewpoint













Aiguilles d'Arves (Aiguille Centrale) 3513 meters

- Tedious task
- Difficulties
 - 1. Quantity of data to scan
 - 2. Topographical representation ≠ visual aspect

- Tedious task
- Difficulties
 - 1. Quantity of data to scan
 - 2. (Topographical representation) \neq visual aspect



- Tedious task
- Difficulties
 - 1. Quantity of data to scan
 - 2. Topographical representation ≠ visual aspect



- Tedious task
- Difficulties
 - 1. Quantity of data to scan
 - 2. (Topographical representation) \neq (visual aspect)



Identifying peaks on a 3D map is way easier

Available elevation data

- High resolution elevation maps
 - Alps : SRTM data (NASA), ~25m resolution
 - Rockies (Colorado, USA) : USGS, ~8m resolution



Available elevation data





Available elevation data



Matching is pretty accurate, now can we compute it automatically?

Problem statement

- Problem = camera pose estimation
- Camera parameters
 - Intrinsic (FOV, etc.)
 - Extrinsic (position, orientation)



Existing approches

• Photogrammetric features

SIFT [Lowe 04], pano stitching [Szeliski 06], photo-tourism [Snavely et al. 06], etc.

Problematic for outdoor, highly varying environments:





Existing approches

• Photogrammetric features

SIFT [Lowe 04], pano stitching [Szeliski 06], photo-tourism [Snavely et al. 06], etc.

• Specific features:

horizon line curve [Woo et al. 07], peaks [Mukunori et al. 97]



Existing approches

• Photogrammetric features

SIFT [Lowe 04], pano stitching [Szeliski 06], photo-tourism [Snavely et al. 06], etc.

• Specific features:

horizon line curve [Woo et al. 07], peaks [Mukunori et al. 97]

• Manual registration

[http://flpsed.org/gipfel.html]

Which features to rely on?

- Visual variations in mountain scenes
 - Season (snow, grass, trees, sheep, etc.)
 - Lighting (sun position, shadows, etc.)
 - Weather (clouds, atmospheric scattering, etc.)



Which features to rely on?

• Robust features: silhouette edges



- Camera parameters
 - Intrinsic (FOV, etc.)
 - Position
 - Orientation



• Camera parameters

- Intrinsic (FOV, etc.)

- Position
- Orientation

Read in attached data (EXIF tags) or camera database



- Camera parameters
 Intrinsic (FOV, etc.)
 - Position - Orientation



- Good estimation
- GPS coordinates
- User input



- Camera parameters
 - Intrinsic (FOV, etc.)
 - Position









Algorithm

- 1. Inputs generation
 - Edge detection
 - Panorama synthesis
- 2. Matching
 - Search space reduction
 - Robust matching
- 3. Post-processing: annotation



Algorithm

- 1. Inputs generation
 - Edge detection
 - Panorama synthesis
- 2. Matching
 - Search space reduction
 - Robust matching

3. Post-processing: annotation



Edge detection



Edge detection



Edge detection



Panorama synthesis



selected viewpoint

360 synthetic panorama

Panorama synthesis



360 synthetic panorama

Panorama synthesis



360 synthetic panorama

Spherical edge maps

- Spherical images
 - Unifies projection for photo / panorama



Algorithm

- 1. Inputs generation
 - Edge detection
 - Panorama synthesis
- 2. Matching
 - Search space reduction
 - Robust matching

3. Post-processing: annotation



Matching

• Matching silhouette maps needs special care



detected edges (input photograph)

theoretically matching synthetic edges

- Inaccuracies in detected edges
 - Missing silhouettes
 - Non-silhouette edges, noise
 - Silhouettes but not encoded in the terrain model





- Topological properties of edge maps
 - Silhouette edges always meet in T-junctions (crossings are singularities)
 - Non-silhouette edges seldom cross silhouettes
 (e.g. border of forests, snow, grass, etc.)



Non-silhouette edges also provide information
 → Use them for matching

Robust Matching Metric

- ε_e tolerance
- ℓ_{fit} following/crossing threshold

Robust Matching Metric

• Compute matching likelihood *E*:

foreach edge within the ε_e -neighboorhood if ($\ell \ge \ell_{fit}$ or exits on same side)

then $E += \ell^{a_{fit}}$

else *E* -= *c*_{cross}

- Naive implementation:
 - Sample SO(3) densely
 - For each (α, β, γ) sample, evaluate matching metric

→ Robust, but prohibitively costly (\approx 8h)

We need prior search-space reduction → Spherical cross-correlation: 8 hours → 1 minute

Search Space Reduction - CC

- 2D Cross-Correlation (or sliding dot product) - $f \star p(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(a,b) \overline{p(x-a,y-b)} dadb$
- Fast calculation using FFT
 - $\mathcal{F}{f \star p} = \mathcal{F}{f} \overline{\mathcal{F}{p}}$
 - $O(n^2 \log n)$
 - Template matching

Cross-Correlation: principle in 2D

• Spherical images:

- Spherical Cross-Correlation $\forall g \in SO(3), \quad f \star p \ (g) = \int_{S^2} f(\omega) \overline{p(g^{-1}\omega)} d\omega$ $g = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
- Efficient computation on SO(3)
 - spherical harmonics and FFT [Kostelec & Rockmore 2008] $O(n^3 \log n)$

- Pure cross-correlation
 - Maximizes edges overlap
 - Disregards orientations

- Pure cross-correlation
 - Maximizes edges overlap
 - Disregards orientations

- Pure cross-correlation
 - Maximizes edges overlap
 - Disregards orientations

Vector-field Cross-Correlation (edge-map = 2D vector field)

Vector-field Cross-Correlation

Angular similarity operator

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho_f^2 \rho_p^2 \cos 2(\theta_f - \theta_p)$

- positive for parallel vectors
- negative for orthogonal vectors
- zero if one vector is zero

 y_C

Compensation for photo's rotation

$$\mathcal{M}_{g}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho_{f}^{2} \rho_{p}^{2} \cos 2(\theta_{f} - (\theta_{p} + \gamma + \frac{\pi}{2}))$$
$$\mathbf{f}_{g} = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

 x_{C}

Vector-field Cross-Correlation

$$\mathrm{VCC}(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{p})(g) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{f}(\omega),\mathbf{p}(g^{-1}\omega)) d\omega$$

Reformulation: 2D vectors → complex numbers

$$\hat{f} = \rho_f e^{i\theta_f}$$

$$\hat{p} = \rho_p e^{i\theta_p}$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = \rho_f^2 \rho_p^2 \cos 2(\theta_f - \theta_p)$$

$$= Re \left\{ \hat{f}^2 \overline{\hat{p}^2} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = Re \left\{ \hat{f}^2 \overline{\left(e^{i(\gamma + \frac{\pi}{2})} \hat{p} \right)^2} \right\}$$

$$= -Re \left\{ e^{-i2\gamma} \hat{f}^2 \overline{\hat{p}^2} \right\}$$

 $\bullet \operatorname{VCC}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p})(g) = -Re\left\{ e^{-i2\gamma} \hat{f}^2 \star \hat{p}^2(g) \right\}$

weighted SCC $\longrightarrow O(n^3 \log n)$

Vector-field Cross-Correlation

- Sampling: 512³
- Thresholding:
 - g: reduce search space to 0.05% of the highest values

β

α

Results: performance

- 28 testing photos + 2 testing videos
 - VCC: maximal at ground truth for 25%
 - With matching metric: 86%, accuracy within 0.2°
- Requires ~2min on current hardware:
 - Compass: ~1min
 - VCC (using SOFT lib): ~40s
 - Matching metric (GPU implementation): ~20s

Results sessette) -Aiguile Vere de Tri -les Droites is Courtes ht Bério Bla

- Works for videos as well
- VIDEO

Annotated video (Großglockner)

Other applications

- Advanced image enhancement
 - Contrast enhancement/dehazing, etc.

- 3D objects integration (depth information)

Other applications

Input

Relighted

62

Conclusions and Future Work

- Mountain photo-to-3D model registration technique
 - Robust silhouette-map matching metric
 - Fast space reduction using SCC
 - Many applications (image/video annotation, augmented reality, model-based image enhancement, etc.)
- Future Work
 - Edge detection: other cues (e.g. aerial perspective)
 - Optimization for viewpoint position and FOV
 - Matching reliability prediction
 - Other possible applications of VCC

One last example...

Thanks!

More resources:

http://www.mpi-inf.mpg.de/resources/photo-to-terrain/

References

- [Snavely et al. 06] N. Snavely, S. M. Seitz, and R. Szeliski. Photo tourism: Exploring photo collections in 3d. In *SIGGRAPH Conference Proceedings*, pages 835–846, New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- [Szeliski 06] R. Szeliski. Image alignment and stitching: a tutorial. *Found.Trends. Comput. Graph. Vis.*, 2(1):1–104, 2006.
- [Lowe 04] D. G. Lowe. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. International Journal of Computer Vision, 60:91–110, 2004.
- [Herdtweck and Wallraven 10] C. Herdtweck and C. Wallraven. Horizon estimation: perceptual and computational experiments. In APGV '10: Proceedings of the 7th Symposium on Applied Perception in Graphics and Visualization, pages 49–56, USA, 2010.
- [Woo et al. 07] J. Woo, K. Son, T. Li, G. S. Kim, and I.-S. Kweon. Vision based uav navigation in mountain area. In *MVA*, pages 236–239, 2007.
- [Mukunori et al. 97] P. C. N. Jr, M. Mukunoki, M. Minoh, and K. Ikeda. Estimating camera position and orientation from geographical map and mountain image. In 38th Research Meeting of the Pattern Sensing Group, Society of Instrument and Control Engineers, pages 9–16, 1997.